From: HVANROOD--VCH0021A Date and time 11.05.92 17:16:27
To: MHORST --VBE0002A M. Horst

From: Henk van Roode
Subject: EPA / TNO De Walle proposal
Dear Mike,

Last week we spoke about our contacts with TNO, Prof. De Walle who we thought could be helpful with a study to counterattack the EPA report.

For over a month now, all our specialists have been looking at the idea and herebelow is their final verdict.

You'll understand that we stop any activity from our side and will inform De Walle accordingly. However, I do have my reservations about the way this project is handled and subsequently killed.

Best regards
*** Forwarding note from TBORELLI--VUS0212A 05/08/92 09:32 ***
To: AANDRADE--VCH0021A T.(Anthony)Andrade HVANROOD--VCH0021A Henk van Roode
SPARRISH--VUS0212A Steven Parrish

From: Thomas Borelli
Subject: Dutch Passive Smoking Proposal

Attached is a note from Bob on the De Walle proposal. As a result of his imput I believe we should tell DeWalle we don't have the money for such a detailed study but we may be open to a review of the limitations of epi as it applies to weak associations.
Regards,
Tom
*** Forwarding note from RPAGES --VUS0212A 05/07/92 16:31 ***
To: TBORELLI--VUS0212A Tom Borelli

From: Robert A. Pages
Subject: Dutch Passive Smoking Proposal

I received your note and the proposal this morning. I read it over and my initial reaction was: This is another Spitzer study waiting to happen. How do we know what's going to come out? Reading about "..the solutions to reduce the passive smoking.." and "...behavior modification..." makes me more than a little nervous.

As this struck me as more politics than science and because I knew nothing of the background, etc., I tried to find out more about it. First attempt was with Bill Davis - out; back tommorrow - who perhaps had heard about this from Tony Andrade. Then I called my all purpose source: Mopsy. As usual, she was extremely helpful in giving me some background and perspective on the Corp Affairs scene in The Netherlands. May I suggest that you talk to her about it? By the way, she was also able to explain to me the rather cryptic mention of a legal concern re TNO that's in van Roode's cover letter to you. (In of itself that may be the most important reason not to touch this proposal, even with a very long, very strong stick.)

Bottom line: There's not enough info here on which to make any informed evaluation, but it looks looks, smells, and sounds like a disaster waiting to be funded by Uncle Phil. Stay away from it and warn others to stay away as well.

2501011506

2501011506.A

2501011506.B

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/sskx0000